

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2023

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1-2
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	3
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	4
GOVERNMENTAL FUND – BALANCE SHEET	5
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	6
GOVERNMENTAL FUND - STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES	7
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	9-30
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY – DEFEINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN	31
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS AND RELATED RATIOS – DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN	32
SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE – HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND – DEFEINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN	33
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS AND RELATED RATIOS – HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND – DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN	34
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL ON A BUDGETARY BASIS – GENERAL FUND	35

HANCOCK FROESE & COMPANY LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
601 SOUTH EIGHTH STREET
ROCKY FORD, COLORADO 81067

Patrick A. Hancock CPA 719-688-0812
Andrew H. Froese CPA 719-980-1962

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Management and County Commissioners of Bent, Crowley and Otero
Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District
La Junta, Colorado

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District (the "District") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District as of December 31, 2023, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.


- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information and the pension/OPEB schedules, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has determined is necessary to supplement, although not a required part of, the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by the omission of this information.



HANCOCK FROESE & COMPANY LLC

July 8, 2024

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

DECEMBER 31, 2023

	PRIMARY GOVERNMENT GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES
ASSETS	
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and Equivalents	\$ 329,029
Receivables	
Grants	70,235
Other	66,922
Deposits	5,695
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	471,881
CAPITAL ASSETS	
Equipment	113,467
Accumulated Depreciation	(96,831)
CAPITAL ASSETS NET OF DEPRECIATION	16,636
TOTAL ASSETS	488,517
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Pension Related Amounts	120,747
OPEB Related Amounts	2,694
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	123,441
LIABILITIES	
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts Payable	7,491
Accrued Expenses	1,311
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	8,802
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	
Compensated Absences - Due Within One Year	21,312
Compensated Absences - Due in More Than One Year	85,242
Net Pension Liability	317,771
Net OPEB Liability	10,460
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	434,785
TOTAL LIABILITIES	443,587
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Pension Related Amounts	4,260
OPEB Related Amounts	16,010
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	20,270
NET POSITION (DEFICIT)	
Net Investment in Capital Assets	16,636
Unrestricted	131,465
TOTAL NET POSITION (DEFICIT)	\$ 148,101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

FUNCTIONS/PROGRAMS	EXPENSES	PROGRAM REVENUES			PRIMARY
		CHARGES FOR SERVICES	OPERATING GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS	CAPITAL GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS	GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES:					
Public Safety	\$ 1,416,838	\$ 208,646	\$ 1,330,656	\$ -	\$ 122,464
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-	-
OPEB Expense	1,043	-	-	-	(1,043)
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES	\$ 1,417,881	\$ 208,646	\$ 1,330,656	\$ -	121,421
GENERAL REVENUES					
					33,922
					318
					34,240
					155,661
					(7,560)
					\$ 148,101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

BALANCE SHEET

GOVERNMENTAL FUND

DECEMBER 31, 2023

	<u>GENERAL FUND</u>
ASSETS	
Cash	\$ 329,029
Receivables	
Grants	70,235
Other	66,922
Deposits	5,695
	<hr/>
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 471,881
	<hr/> <hr/>
LIABILITIES	
Accounts Payable	\$ 7,491
Accrued Expenses	1,311
	<hr/>
TOTAL LIABILITIES	8,802
	<hr/>
FUND BALANCE	
Unassigned	463,079
	<hr/>
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	463,079
	<hr/>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 471,881
	<hr/> <hr/>

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET
TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

DECEMBER 31, 2023

AMOUNTS REPORTED FOR GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES IN THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION ARE DIFFERENT BECAUSE:

Governmental Fund Total Fund Balance	\$	463,079
Receivable not recognized in governmental funds due to time restriction of receivable recognition.		-
Capital assets used in governmental funds are not considered current financial resources and, therefore, not reported in the governmental funds.		113,467
Accumulated depreciation is not recognized in the governmental funds because capital assets are expensed at the time of acquisition.		(96,831)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-term liabilities at year end consist of:		
Compensated Absences	\$	(106,554)
Net Pension Liability		(317,771)
Deferred Outflows of Resources - Pension Related Amounts		120,747
Deferred Inflows of Resources - Pension Related Amounts		(4,260)
Net OPEB Liability		(10,460)
Deferred Outflows of Resources - OPEB Related Amounts		2,694
Deferred Inflows of Resources - OPEB Related Amounts		(16,010)
		(331,614)
Governmental Activities Net Position (Deficit)	\$	148,101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

GOVERNMENTAL FUND

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

	<u>GENERAL FUND</u>
REVENUES	
Intergovernmental Revenues:	
State Reimbursement for Wages/Benefits	\$ 127,816
County Funding	829,239
VAWA Grant	-
VALE Grant	50,940
VOCA Grants	90,283
Adult/Juvenile Diversion Grants	201,104
Weekend Bond Hearings	17,413
COVID Grants	-
Other	13,861
Charges for Services:	
DOC Billings	208,646
Miscellaneous	318
	<u>1,539,620</u>
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>1,539,620</u>
EXPENDITURES	
Public Safety	
Personnel Costs	959,436
Fringe Benefits	267,602
Professional and Expert Fees	53,808
Travel, Meetings, and Dues	20,074
Occupancy Costs	9,951
Supplies	30,924
Telephone	11,707
Library	2,904
Training	14,190
Miscellaneous	29,526
	<u>1,400,122</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>1,400,122</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	139,498
FUND BALANCE JANUARY 1	<u>323,581</u>
FUND BALANCE DECEMBER 31	<u>\$ 463,079</u>

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO
THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

AMOUNTS REPORTED FOR GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES IN THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
ARE DIFFERENT BECAUSE:

Governmental Fund Changes in Fund Balances	\$	139,498
--	----	---------

Receivable not recognized in governmental funds due to time restriction of receivable recognition.		-
--	--	---

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. In the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation. This is the amount by which capital outlays differ from depreciation in the current period.

Capital Outlay	\$	-	
Depreciation Expense		(5,407)	
		(5,407)	(5,407)

In the statement of activities, certain operating expenses are measured by the amounts earned during the year. In the governmental funds, however, expenditures for these items are measured by the amount of financial resources used or due (essentially, the amounts actually paid). This amount represents the net effect of compensated absences and pension related amounts on the statement of activities.

Compensated Absences	\$	(11,309)	
Pension Related Amounts		33,922	
OPEB Related Amounts		(1,043)	
		21,570	21,570

Governmental Activities Change in Net Position	\$	<u>155,661</u>
--	----	----------------

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District (the "District") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governmental entities. The District's reporting entity applies all relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. A summary of significant policies is as follows:

THE FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

The District was formed under the statutes of the State of Colorado. It serves three Colorado counties: Bent, Crowley and Otero. The Office of the District Attorney provides public services to the residents of the three counties. As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these financial statements present the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District (the primary government) and its component units, as applicable. Currently no component units have been included in the District's reporting entity because of a lack of significant operational or financial relationship with the District.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segments are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period with the exception of settlement revenues which are deemed to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon after and does not follow the 60-day policy. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Grant and entitlement awards are recorded as revenue when earned. Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met. Entitlements and shared revenues are recorded at the time of receipt or earlier if the susceptible to accrual criteria are met. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District.

The District reports the following major governmental fund:

General Fund - The District maintains only a General Fund, which is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government. Principal sources of revenue are from counties located within boundaries of the District and state support. Primary expenditures are for personnel and general administration expenses.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND NET ASSETS OR EQUITY

CASH AND EQUIVALENTS

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, cash in bank, demand deposits, money market accounts and highly liquid investments held in banks.

RECEIVABLES

Accounts/Other Receivable - All trade receivables are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles if required. Due to the type of receivables, the allowance account is \$0 as of December 31, 2023.

Grant Receivable – Grant receivables are recorded as receivables and revenues at the time reimbursable costs are incurred.

CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets, which consist of equipment, are reported in the applicable governmental column in the government-wide financial statements. The government defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Equipment of the primary government is depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of 3 to 10 years.

ACCRUED COMPENSATION ABSENCES

In accordance with the provisions of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements, vested or accumulated vacation pay that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expenditure and a fund liability of the fund that will pay it.

District policy allows employees to accumulate unused vacation pay. Any unused vacation time that exceeds 60 days cannot be carried over into the next benefit year. Accrued vacation leave is payable upon resignation, termination, retirement or death.

In accordance with the governmental accounting standards, the District has recorded the accrued liability for vacation pay in the accompanying district wide financial statements. The amounts are accrued as expenses when incurred in the funds of the District.

LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations, including capitalized leases, are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities.

UNEARNED REVENUE

Unearned revenues represent assets received (measurable) but not yet earned. In the statement of net position, unearned revenues represent grant funds received, but not yet earned as the related service has not yet been provided.

DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The District has two items that qualify for reporting in this category which are for pension and OPEB related amounts.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES (Continued)

Pension Related - Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources include the following:

Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	\$ 1,467
Changes of Assumptions or Other Inputs	7,685
Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment	
Earnings on Pension Plan Investments	84,888
Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date	<u>26,707</u>
Total Pension Related Deferred Outflows	<u>\$ 120,747</u>

More information on pension related items is included in Note 6.

OPEB Related - Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources include the following:

Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	\$ -
Changes of Assumptions or Other Inputs	169
Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment	
Earnings on Pension Plan Investments	1,199
Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date	<u>1,326</u>
Total OPEB Related Deferred Outflows	<u>\$ 2,694</u>

More information on OPEB related items is included in Note 8.

DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has two types of items that qualify for reporting in this category.

Pension Related - Amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources include the following:

Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	\$ (4,260)
Changes of Assumptions or Other Inputs	-
Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment	
Earnings on Pension Plan Investments	<u>-</u>
Total Pension Related Deferred Inflows	<u>\$ (4,260)</u>

More information on pension related items is included in Note 6.

OPEB Related - Amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources include the following:

Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	\$ (2,530)
Changes of Assumptions or Other Inputs	(1,154)
Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment	
Earnings on Pension Plan Investments	<u>(12,326)</u>
Total OPEB Related Deferred Inflows	<u>\$ (16,010)</u>

More information on OPEB related items is included in Note 8.

NET POSITION / FUND BALANCE

In the government-wide financial statements, net position is classified in the following categories:

Net investment in capital assets – This amount consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by outstanding debt, if applicable, attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position – This amount is restricted by external creditors, grantors, contributors, laws or regulations of other governments.

Unrestricted net position – This amount is all net position that do not meet the definition of “net investment in capital assets” or “restricted net position”

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

NET POSITION / FUND BALANCE (Continued)

The District implemented GASB Statement No. 54 “Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions”. This Statement provides more clearly defined fund balance categories to make the nature and extent of the constraints placed on a government’s fund balance more transparent. The following classifications describe the relative strength of the spending constraints placed on the purposes for which the resources can be used:

Nonspendable fund balance – amounts that are not in spendable form (such as inventory) or required to be maintained intact;

Restricted fund balance – amounts constrained to specific purposes by their providers (such as grantors, bondholders, and higher levels of government), through constitutional provisions, or by enabling legislation;

Committed fund balance – amounts constrained to specific purposes by a government itself, using its highest level of decision-making authority; to be reported as committed, amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government takes the same highest-level action to remove or change the constraint;

Assigned fund balance – amounts a government intends to use for a specific purpose; intent can be expressed by the governing body or by an official or body to which the governing body delegates the authority;

Unassigned fund balance – amounts that are available for any purpose; positive amounts are reported only in the general fund.

The District establishes (and modifies or rescinds) fund balance commitments by passage of a resolution. This is typically done through adoption and amendment of the budget. Assigned fund balance is established through the adoption or amendment of the budget as intended for specific purposes.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available in governmental funds, the District applies expenditures against restricted fund balance first, followed by committed fund balance, assigned fund balance and unassigned fund balance.

ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

ENCUMBRANCES

The District does not use encumbrance accounting in recording revenues and expenditures.

STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY BUDGETS

The District follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

Prior to October 15, the District submits to each of the participating Counties a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following January 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.

Public hearings are conducted by the Counties to obtain taxpayer comments.

Prior to December 22, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution by the participating Counties.

Revisions that alter the total expenditures of any fund generally must be approved by the participating Counties.

Appropriations lapse at year-end. Any open purchase items must be re-appropriated in the following year and expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations at the fund level. For the year ended December 31, 2023, the General Fund was in compliance.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -2 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits - Colorado State Statutes govern the District's deposits of cash. The statutes specify eligible depositories for public cash deposits, which must be Colorado institutions and must maintain federal insurance (FDIC) on deposits held.

The Colorado Public Deposit Protection Act, (PDPA) requires that all units of local government deposit cash in eligible public depositories; state regulators determined eligibility. Amounts on deposit in excess of federal insurance levels must be collateralized. The eligible collateral is determined by the PDPA. PDPA allows the institution to create a single collateral pool for all public funds. The pool is to be maintained by another institution or held in trust for all the uninsured public deposits as a group. The market value of the collateral must be at least equal to 102% of the uninsured deposits.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. As of December 31, 2023, \$102,545 of the District's bank balance of \$352,545 was exposed to custodial credit risk. Deposits exposed to credit risk are collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution through PDPA.

Interest Rate Risk – The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value loss resulting from increasing interest rates. The Colorado revised statute 24-75-601 limits investment maturities to five years or less without governing board approval.

Credit Risk – State law limits investments for local governments to U.S. treasury issues, other federally backed notes and credits, and other agency offerings (not based on derivatives) without limitation. Other investment instruments including bank obligations, general obligation bonds, and commercial paper are limited to at least one of the highest rating categories of at least one nationally recognized rating agency. State law further limits investments in money market funds that are organized according to the Federal Investment Company Act of 1940, as specified in rule 2a-7, as amended, as long as such rule does not increase remaining maturities beyond a maximum of three years. Investments in these funds require that the institution have assets in excess of \$1 billion or the highest credit rating from one or more of a nationally recognized rating agency.

NOTE –3 DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS – GRANT RECEIVABLES

Intergovernmental receivables include amounts due from grantors for specific program grants. Program grants are recorded as receivables and revenues at the time reimbursable project costs are incurred. As of December 31, 2023, the District had \$70,235 due from Federal, State, or Local governments, reflected as intergovernmental receivables in the accompanying basic financial statements reported as grant receivables.

NOTE –4 CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2023 was as follows:

Primary Government

	<u>Beginning Balance</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Ending Balance</u>
Governmental Activities:				
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Equipment	\$ 113,467	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 113,467
Less accumulated depreciation for equipment	<u>(91,424)</u>	<u>(5,407)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(96,831)</u>
Governmental Activities				
Net Capital Assets	<u>\$ 22,043</u>	<u>\$ (5,407)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 16,636</u>

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -4 CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Depreciation expense was charged to functions as follows:

Governmental Activities:	
Public safety	<u>\$ 5,407</u>

NOTE -5 LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

The summary of activity in long-term debt for the year ended December 31, 2023 is as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Governmental-type activities					
Compensated Absences	<u>\$ 95,245</u>	<u>\$ 11,309</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 106,554</u>	<u>\$ 21,312</u>

Vacation is earned depending upon the number of years employed. No more than 60 days of vacation can be carried into the next benefit year.

NOTE -6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

STATE DIVISION TRUST FUND

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Pensions. The Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District participates in the State Division Trust Fund (SDTF), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Public Employees' Retirement Association of Colorado (PERA). The net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position (FNP) and additions to/deductions from the FNP of the SDTF have been determined using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

General Information about the Pension Plan

Plan description. Eligible employees of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District are provided with pensions through the SDTF—a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by PERA. Plan benefits are specified in Title 24, Article 51 of the Colorado Revised Statutes (C.R.S.), administrative rules set forth at 8 C.C.R. 1502-1, and applicable provisions of the federal Internal Revenue Code. Colorado State law provisions may be amended from time to time by the Colorado General Assembly. PERA issues a publicly available annual comprehensive financial report (ACFR) that can be obtained at www.copera.org/investments/pera-financial-reports.

Benefits provided as of December 31, 2022. PERA provides retirement, disability, and survivor benefits. Retirement benefits are determined by the amount of service credit earned and/or purchased, highest average salary, the benefit structure(s) under which the member retires, the benefit option selected at retirement, and age at retirement. Retirement eligibility is specified in tables set forth at C.R.S. § 24-51-602, 604, 1713, and 1714.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

The lifetime retirement benefit for all eligible retiring employees under the PERA benefit structure is the greater of the:

- Highest average salary multiplied by 2.5% and then multiplied by years of service credit.
- The value of the retiring employee's member contribution account plus a 100% match on eligible amounts as of the retirement date. This amount is then annuitized into a monthly benefit based on life expectancy and other actuarial factors.

The lifetime retirement benefit for all eligible retiring employees under the Denver Public Schools (DPS) benefit structure is the greater of the:

- Highest average salary multiplied by 2.5% and then multiplied by years of service credit.
- \$15 times the first 10 years of service credit plus \$20 times service credit over 10 years plus a monthly amount equal to the annuitized member contribution account balance based on life expectancy and other actuarial factors.

In all cases the service retirement benefit is limited to 100% of highest average salary and also cannot exceed the maximum benefit allowed by federal Internal Revenue Code.

Members may elect to withdraw their member contribution accounts upon termination of employment with all PERA employers; waiving rights to any lifetime retirement benefits earned. If eligible, the member may receive a match of either 50% or 100% on eligible amounts depending on when contributions were remitted to PERA, the date employment was terminated, whether 5 years of service credit has been obtained and the benefit structure under which contributions were made.

Upon meeting certain criteria, benefit recipients who elect to receive a lifetime retirement benefit generally receive post-retirement cost-of-living adjustments, referred to as annual increases in the C.R.S. Subject to the automatic adjustment provision (AAP) under C.R.S. § 24-51-413, eligible benefit recipients under the PERA benefit structure who began membership before January 1, 2007, and all eligible benefit recipients of the DPS benefit structure will receive the maximum annual increase (AI) or AI cap of 1.00% unless adjusted by the AAP. Eligible benefit recipients under the PERA benefit structure who began membership on or after January 1, 2007, will receive the lesser of an annual increase of the 1.00% AI cap or the average increase of the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers for the prior calendar year, not to exceed a determined increase that would exhaust 10% of PERA's Annual Increase Reserve (AIR) for the SDTF. The AAP may raise or lower the aforementioned AI cap by up to 0.25% based on the parameters specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-413.

Disability benefits are available for eligible employees once they reach five years of earned service credit and are determined to meet the definition of disability. For State Troopers whose disability is caused by an on- the-job injury, the five-year service requirement is waived and they are immediately eligible to apply for disability benefits. The disability benefit amount is based on the lifetime retirement benefit formula(s) shown above considering a minimum 20 years of service credit, if deemed disabled.

Survivor benefits are determined by several factors, which include the amount of earned service credit, highest average salary of the deceased, the benefit structure(s) under which service credit was obtained, and the qualified survivor(s) who will receive the benefits.

Contributions provisions as of December 31, 2023: Eligible employees of, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District and the State are required to contribute to the SDTF at a rate set by Colorado statute. The contribution requirements for the SDTF are established under C.R.S. § 24-51-401, *et seq.* and § 24-51-413. Employee contribution rates for the period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2023 are summarized in the table below:

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

	January 1, 2022 Through June 30, 2022	July 1, 2022 Through December 31, 2022	January 1, 2023 Through June 30, 2023	July 1, 2023 Through December 31, 2023
Employee contribution (all employees other than State Troopers)	10.50%	11.00%	11.00%	11.00%

**Contribution rates for the SDTF are expressed as a percentage of salary as defined in C.R.S. § 24-51-101(42).

The employer contribution requirements for all employees other than State Troopers are summarized in the table below:

	January 1, 2022 Through June 30, 2022	July 1, 2022 Through December 31, 2022	January 1, 2023 Through June 30, 2023	July 1, 2023 Through December 31, 2023
Employer contribution rate	10.90%	11.40%	11.40%	11.40%
Amount of employer contribution apportioned to the Health Care Trust Fund as specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-208(1)(f)	(1.02%)	(1.02%)	(1.02%)	(1.02%)
Amount apportioned to the SDTF	9.88%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%
Amortization Equalization Disbursement (AED) as specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-411	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Supplemental Amortization Equalization Disbursement (SAED) as specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-411	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Defined Contribution Supplement as specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-415	0.10%	0.10%	0.17%	0.17%
Total employer contribution rate to the SDTF	19.98%	20.48%	20.55%	20.55%

**Contribution rates for the SDTF are expressed as a percentage of salary as defined in C.R.S. § 24-51-101(42).

Employer contributions are recognized by the SDTF in the period in which the compensation becomes payable to the member and the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District is statutorily committed to pay the contributions to the SDTF. Employer contributions recognized by the SDTF from the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District were \$26,707 for the year ended December 31, 2023.

For purposes of GASB 68 paragraph 15, a circumstance exists in which a nonemployer contributing entity is legally responsible for making contributions to the SDTF and is considered to meet the definition of a special funding situation. As specified in C.R.S. § 24-51-414, the State is required to contribute a \$225 million (actual dollars) direct distribution each year to PERA starting on July 1, 2018. A portion of the direct distribution payment is allocated to the SDTF based on the proportionate amount of annual payroll of the SDTF to the total annual payroll of the SDTF, School Division Trust Fund, Judicial Division Trust Fund, and Denver Public Schools Division Trust Fund. House Bill (HB) 22-1029, instructed the State treasurer to issue an additional direct distribution to PERA in the amount of \$380 million (actual dollars), upon enactment. The July 1, 2023, payment is reduced by \$190 million (actual dollars) to \$35 million (actual dollars). The July 1, 2024, payment will not be reduced due to PERA's negative investment return in 2022. Senate Bill (SB) 23-056, enacted June 2, 2023, requires the State to make an additional direct distribution of approximately \$14.5 million (actual dollars), for a total of approximately \$49.5 million (actual dollars) to be contributed on July 1, 2023.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

The net pension liability for the SDTF was measured as of December 31, 2022, and the total pension liability (TPL) used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2021. Standard update procedures were used to roll-forward the TPL to December 31, 2022. The Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportion of the net pension liability was based on the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District contributions to the SDTF for the calendar year 2022 relative to the total contributions of participating employers and the State as a nonemployer contributing entity for participating employers of the SDTF that are outside of the State’s financial reporting entity.

At December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District reported a liability of \$317,771 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability that reflected a reduction for support from the State as a nonemployer contributing entity. The amount recognized by the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related support from the State as a nonemployer contributing entity, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District were as follows:

The Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$317,771
The State’s proportionate share of the net pension liability as a nonemployer contributing entity associated with the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District	267
Total	\$318,038

At December 31, 2022, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportion was 0.0029%, which was a decrease of 0.0006% from its proportion measured as of December 31, 2021

For the year ended December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District recognized pension revenue of \$33,922 for support from the State as a nonemployer contributing entity. At December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<u>Deferred Outflows of Resources</u>	<u>Deferred Inflows of Resources</u>
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$ 1,467	\$ 4,260
Changes of assumptions or other inputs	7,685	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	84,888	-
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions recognized and proportionate share of contributions	-	-
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	26,707	N/A
Total	\$120,747	\$ 4,260

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

\$26,707 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions, resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date, will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2024. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2023:	
2024	\$ 40,448
2025	31,296
2026	18,612
2027	(576)
2028	3,032
Thereafter	-
Total	92,812

Actuarial assumptions. The TPL in the December 31, 2021, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial cost method, actuarial assumptions, and other inputs:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Price inflation	2.30%
Real wage growth	0.70%
Wage inflation	3.00%
Salary increases, including wage inflation:	
Members other than State Troopers	3.30%-10.90%
State Troopers	3.20%-12.40%
Long-term investment rate of return, net of pension plan investment expenses, including price inflation	7.25%
Discount rate	7.25%
Post-retirement benefit increases:	
PERA benefit structure hired prior to 1/1/07 and DPS benefit structure (compounded annually)	1.00%
PERA benefit structure hired after 12/31/06 ¹	Financed by the AIR

¹ Post-retirement benefit increases are provided by the AIR, accounted separately within each Division Trust Fund, and subject to moneys being available; therefore, liabilities related to increases for members of these benefit tiers can never exceed available assets.

The TPL as of December 31, 2021, includes the anticipated adjustments to contribution rates and the AI cap, resulting from the 2020 AAP assessment, statutorily recognized July 1, 2021, and effective July 1, 2022.

The mortality tables described below are generational mortality tables developed on a benefit-weighted basis.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for members other than State Troopers were based upon the PubG-2010 Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the PubS-2010 Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019:

- **Males:** 94% of the rates prior to age 80 and 90% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.
- **Females:** 87% of the rates prior to age 80 and 107% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

Post-retirement non-disabled mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the unadjusted PubS-2010 Healthy Retiree Table, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled beneficiary mortality assumptions were based upon the Pub-2010 Contingent Survivor Table, adjusted as follows:

- **Males:** 97% of the rates for all ages, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.
- **Females:** 105% of the rates for all ages, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Disabled mortality assumptions for members other than State Troopers were based upon the PubNS-2010 Disabled Retiree Table using 99% of the rates for all ages with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Disabled mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the unadjusted PubS-2010 Disabled Retiree Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

The actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2021, valuation were based on the results of the 2020 experience analysis for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2019, and were reviewed and adopted by the PERA Board at their November 20, 2020, meeting.

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of regular experience studies prepared every four to five years for PERA. Recently this assumption has been reviewed more frequently. The most recent analyses were outlined in the Experience Study report dated October 28, 2020.

Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumption, including long-term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense and inflation) were developed for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages and then adding expected inflation.

The PERA Board first adopted the 7.25% long-term expected rate of return as of November 18, 2016. Following an asset/liability study, the Board reaffirmed the assumed rate of return at the Board's November 15, 2019, meeting, to be effective January 1, 2020. As of the most recent reaffirmation of the long-term rate of return, the target asset allocation, and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the table as follows:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	30 Year Expected Geometric Real Rate of Return
Global Equity	54.00%	5.60%
Fixed Income	23.00%	1.30%
Private Equity	8.50%	7.10%
Real Estate	8.50%	4.40%
Alternatives	6.00%	4.70%
Total	100.00%	

Note: In setting the long-term expected rate of return, projections employed to model future returns provide a range of expected long-term returns that, including expected inflation, ultimately support a long-term expected nominal rate of return assumption of 7.25%.

Discount rate. The discount rate used to measure the TPL was 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate applied the actuarial cost method and assumptions shown above. In addition, the following methods and assumptions were used in the projection of cash flows:

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE –6 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

- Total covered payroll for the initial projection year consists of the covered payroll of the active membership present on the valuation date and the covered payroll of future plan members assumed to be hired during the year. In subsequent projection years, total covered payroll was assumed to increase annually at a rate of 3.00%.
- Employee contributions were assumed to be made at the member contribution rates in effect for each year, including the scheduled increases in SB 18-200, and required adjustments resulting from the 2018 and 2020 AAP assessments. Employee contributions for future plan members were used to reduce the estimated amount of total service costs for future plan members.
- Employer contributions were assumed to be made at rates equal to the fixed statutory rates specified in law for each year, including the scheduled increase in SB 18-200 and required adjustments resulting from the 2018 and 2020 AAP assessments. Employer contributions also include current and estimated future AED and SAED, until the actuarial value funding ratio reaches 103%, at which point the AED and SAED will each drop 0.50% every year until they are zero. Additionally, estimated employer contributions reflect reductions for the funding of the AIR and retiree health care benefits. For future plan members, employer contributions were further reduced by the estimated amount of total service costs for future plan members not financed by their member contributions.
- As specified in law, the State, as a nonemployer contributing entity, will provide an annual direct distribution of \$225 million (actual dollars), commencing July 1, 2018, that is proportioned between the State, School, Judicial, and DPS Division Trust Funds based upon the covered payroll of each Division. The annual direct distribution ceases when all Division Trust Funds are fully funded.
- HB 22-1029, effective upon enactment in 2022, required the State treasurer to issue, in addition to the regularly scheduled \$225 million (actual dollars) direct distribution, a warrant to PERA in the amount of \$380 million (actual dollars). The July 1, 2023, direct distribution is reduced by \$190 million (actual dollars) to \$35 million (actual dollars). The July 1, 2024, direct distribution will not be reduced from \$225 million (actual dollars) due to PERA's negative investment return in 2022.
- Employer contributions and the amount of total service costs for future plan members were based upon a process to estimate future actuarially determined contributions assuming an analogous future plan member growth rate.
- The AIR balance was excluded from the initial FNP, as, per statute, AIR amounts cannot be used to pay benefits until transferred to either the retirement benefits reserve or the survivor benefits reserve, as appropriate. AIR transfers to the FNP and the subsequent AIR benefit payments were estimated and included in the projections.
- Benefit payments and contributions were assumed to be made at the middle of the year

Based on the above assumptions and methods, the SDTF's FNP was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.25% on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the TPL. The discount rate determination does not use the municipal bond index rate, and therefore, the discount rate is 7.25%. There was no change in the discount rate from the prior measurement date.

Sensitivity of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.25%) or one percentage point higher (8.25%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)
Proportionate share of the net pension	\$406,234	\$317,771	\$243,358

Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the SDTF's FNP is available in PERA's ACFR which can be obtained at www.copera.org/investments/pera-financial-reports.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -7 DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PENSION PLANS

Voluntary Investment Program

Plan Description - Employees of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District that are also members of the SDTF may voluntarily contribute to the Voluntary Investment Program, an Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) defined contribution plan administered by PERA. Title 24, Article 51, Part 14 of the C.R.S., as amended, assigns the authority to establish the Plan provisions to the PERA Board of Trustees. PERA issues a publicly available ACFR which includes additional information on the Voluntary Investment Program. That report can be obtained at www.copera.org/investments/pera-financial-reports.

Funding Policy - The Voluntary Investment Program is funded by voluntary member contributions up to the maximum limits set by the Internal Revenue Service, as established under Title 24, Article 51, Section 1402 of the C.R.S., as amended. In addition, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District has agreed to match employee contributions up to 0% of covered salary as determined by the Internal Revenue Service. Employees are immediately vested in their own contributions, employer contributions and investment earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2023, program members contributed \$0 and the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District recognized pension expense and a liability of \$0 and \$0, respectively, for the Voluntary Investment Program.

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN

HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND (PERA)

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

OPEB. Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District participates in the Health Care Trust Fund (HCTF), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit OPEB fund administered by the Public Employees' Retirement Association of Colorado ("PERA"). The net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position (FNP) and additions to/deductions from the FNP of the HCTF have been determined using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. For this purpose, benefits paid on behalf of health care participants are recognized when due and/or payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

General Information about the OPEB Plan

Plan description. Eligible employees of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District are provided with OPEB through the HCTF—a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit OPEB plan administered by PERA. The HCTF is established under Title 24, Article 51, Part 12 of the Colorado Revised Statutes (C.R.S.), as amended, and sets forth a framework that grants authority to the PERA Board to contract, self-insure, and authorize disbursements necessary in order to carry out the purposes of the PERACare program, including the administration of the premium subsidies. Colorado State law provisions may be amended by the Colorado General Assembly. PERA issues a publicly available annual comprehensive financial report (ACFR) that can be obtained at www.copera.org/investments/pera-financial-reports.

Benefits provided. The HCTF provides a health care premium subsidy to eligible participating PERA benefit recipients and retirees who choose to enroll in one of the PERA health care plans, however, the subsidy is not available if only enrolled in the dental and/or vision plan(s). The health care premium subsidy is based upon the benefit structure under which the member retires and the member's years of service credit. For members who retire having service credit with employers in the Denver Public Schools (DPS) Division and one or more of the other four Divisions (State, School, Local Government and Judicial), the premium subsidy is allocated between the HCTF and the Denver Public Schools Health Care Trust Fund (DPS HCTF). The basis for the amount of the premium subsidy funded by each trust fund is the percentage of the member contribution account balance from each division as it relates to the total member contribution account balance from which the retirement benefit is paid.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

C.R.S. § 24-51-1202 *et seq.* specifies the eligibility for enrollment in the health care plans offered by PERA and the amount of the premium subsidy. The law governing a benefit recipient's eligibility for the subsidy and the amount of the subsidy differs slightly depending under which benefit structure the benefits are calculated. All benefit recipients under the PERA benefit structure and all retirees under the DPS benefit structure are eligible for a premium subsidy, if enrolled in a health care plan under PERACare. Upon the death of a DPS benefit structure retiree, no further subsidy is paid.

Enrollment in the PERACare health benefits program is voluntary and is available to benefit recipients and their eligible dependents, certain surviving spouses, and divorced spouses and guardians, among others. Eligible benefit recipients may enroll into the program upon retirement, upon the occurrence of certain life events, or on an annual basis during an open enrollment period.

PERA Benefit Structure

The maximum service-based premium subsidy is \$230 per month for benefit recipients who are under 65 years of age and who are not entitled to Medicare; the maximum service-based subsidy is \$115 per month for benefit recipients who are 65 years of age or older or who are under 65 years of age and entitled to Medicare. The maximum service-based subsidy, in each case, is for benefit recipients with retirement benefits based on 20 or more years of service credit. There is a 5% reduction in the subsidy for each year less than 20. The benefit recipient pays the remaining portion of the premium to the extent the subsidy does not cover the entire amount.

For benefit recipients who have not participated in Social Security and who are not otherwise eligible for premium-free Medicare Part A for hospital-related services, C.R.S. § 24-51-1206(4) provides an additional subsidy. According to the statute, PERA cannot charge premiums to benefit recipients without Medicare Part A that are greater than premiums charged to benefit recipients with Part A for the same plan option, coverage level, and service credit. Currently, for each individual PERACare enrollee, the total premium for Medicare coverage is determined assuming plan participants have both Medicare Part A and Part B and the difference in premium cost is paid by the HCTF or the DPS HCTF on behalf of benefit recipients not covered by Medicare Part A.

DPS Benefit Structure

The maximum service-based premium subsidy is \$230 per month for retirees who are under 65 years of age and who are not entitled to Medicare; the maximum service-based subsidy is \$115 per month for retirees who are 65 years of age or older or who are under 65 years of age and entitled to Medicare. The maximum service-based subsidy, in each case, is for retirees with retirement benefits based on 20 or more years of service credit. There is a 5% reduction in the subsidy for each year less than 20. The retiree pays the remaining portion of the premium to the extent the subsidy does not cover the entire amount.

For retirees who have not participated in Social Security and who are not otherwise eligible for premium-free Medicare Part A for hospital-related services, the HCTF or the DPS HCTF pays an alternate service-based premium subsidy. Each individual retiree meeting these conditions receives the maximum \$230 per month subsidy reduced appropriately for service less than 20 years, as described above. Retirees who do not have Medicare Part A pay the difference between the total premium and the monthly subsidy.

Contributions. Pursuant to Title 24, Article 51, Section 208(1) (f) of the C.R.S., as amended, certain contributions are apportioned to the HCTF. PERA-affiliated employers of the State, School, Local Government, and Judicial Divisions are required to contribute at a rate of 1.02% of PERA-includable salary into the HCTF.

Employer contributions are recognized by the HCTF in the period in which the compensation becomes payable to the member and the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District is statutorily committed to pay the contributions. Employer contributions recognized by the HCTF from Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District were \$1,326 for the year ended December 31, 2023.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

At December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District reported a liability of \$10,460 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability. The net OPEB liability for the HCTF was measured as of December 31, 2022, and the total OPEB liability (TOL) used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2021. Standard update procedures were used to roll-forward the TOL to December 31, 2022. The Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District contributions to the HCTF for the calendar year 2022 relative to the total contributions of participating employers to the HCTF.

At December 31, 2022, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportion was 0.0013%, which was a decrease of 0.0001% from its proportion measured as of December 31, 2021.

For the year ended December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District recognized OPEB expense of \$1,043. At December 31, 2023, the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	<u>Deferred Outflows of</u>	<u>Deferred Inflows of</u>
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$ (2,530)
Changes of assumptions or other inputs	169	(1,154)
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments	1,199	(12,326)
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions recognized and proportionate share of contributions	-	-
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	1,326	N/A
Total	\$ 2,694	\$ (16,010)

\$1,326 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB, resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date, will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the year ended December 31, 2024. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2023:	
2024	\$(2,311)
2025	(2,336)
2026	(2,341)
2027	(2,341)
2028	(2,367)
Thereafter	(2,946)

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

Actuarial assumptions. The TOL in the December 31, 2021 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial cost method, actuarial assumptions and other inputs:

	State Division	School Division	Local Government Division	Judicial Division
Actuarial cost method				
Price inflation				
Real wage growth				
Wage inflation				
Salary increases, including wage inflation				
Members other than State Troopers	3.30%-10.90%	3.40%-11.00%	3.20%- 11.30%	2.80%- 5.30%
State Troopers	3.20%-12.40%	N/A	3.20%- 12.40%	N/A
Long-term investment rate of return, net of OPEB plan investment expenses, including price inflation				
Discount rate				
Health care cost trend rates				
PERA benefit structure:				
Service-based premium subsidy			0.00%	
PERACare Medicare plans				
Medicare Part A premiums				
DPS benefit structure:				
Service-based premium subsidy			0.00%	
PERACare Medicare plans			N/A	
Medicare Part A premiums			N/A	

The TOL for the HCTF, as of the December 31, 2022, measurement date, was adjusted to reflect the disaffiliation, allowable under C.R.S. § 24-51-313, of Tri-County Health Department (TriCounty Health), effective December 31, 2022. As of the close of the 2022 fiscal year, no disaffiliation payment associated with Tri-County Health was received, and therefore no disaffiliation dollars were reflected in the FNP as of the December 31, 2022, measurement date. Beginning January 1, 2022, the per capita health care costs are developed by plan option; based on 2022 premium rates for the UnitedHealthcare Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug (MAPD) PPO plan #1, the UnitedHealthcare MAPD PPO plan #2, and the Kaiser Permanente MAPD HMO plan. Actuarial morbidity factors are then applied to estimate individual retiree and spouse costs by age, gender, and health care cost trend. This approach applies for all members and is adjusted accordingly for those not eligible for premium-free Medicare Part A for the PERA benefit structure.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

Age-Related Morbidity Assumptions

Participant Age	Annual Increase (Male)	Annual Increase (Female)
65-69	3.0%	1.5%
70	2.9%	1.6%
71	1.6%	1.4%
72	1.4%	1.5%
73	1.5%	1.6%
74	1.5%	1.5%
75	1.5%	1.4%
76	1.5%	1.5%
77	1.5%	1.5%
78	1.5%	1.6%
79	1.5%	1.5%
80	1.4%	1.5%
81 and older	0.0%	0.0%

Sample Age	MAPD PPO #1 with Medicare Part A		MAPD PPO #2 with Medicare Part A		MAPD HMO (Kaiser) with Medicare Part A	
	Retiree/Spouse		Retiree/Spouse		Retiree/Spouse	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
65	\$1,704	\$1,450	\$583	\$496	\$1,923	\$1,634
70	\$1,976	\$1,561	\$676	\$534	\$2,229	\$1,761
75	\$2,128	\$1,681	\$728	\$575	\$2,401	\$1,896

Sample Age	MAPD PPO #1 without Medicare Part A		MAPD PPO #2 without Medicare Part A		MAPD HMO (Kaiser) without Medicare Part A	
	Retiree/Spouse		Retiree/Spouse		Retiree/Spouse	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
65	\$6,514	\$5,542	\$4,227	\$3,596	\$6,752	\$5,739
70	\$7,553	\$5,966	\$4,901	\$3,872	\$7,826	\$6,185
75	\$8,134	\$6,425	\$5,278	\$4,169	\$8,433	\$6,657

The 2022 Medicare Part A premium is \$499 (actual dollars) per month.

All costs are subject to the health care cost trend rates, as discussed below.

Health care cost trend rates reflect the change in per capita health costs over time due to factors such as medical inflation, utilization, plan design, and technology improvements. For the PERA benefit structure, health care cost trend rates are needed to project the future costs associated with providing benefits to those PERACare enrollees not eligible for premium-free Medicare Part A.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

Health care cost trend rates for the PERA benefit structure are based on published annual health care inflation surveys in conjunction with actual plan experience (if credible), building block models and industry methods developed by health plan actuaries and administrators. In addition, projected trends for the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund (Medicare Part A premiums) provided by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services are referenced in the development of these rates. Effective December 31, 2021, the health care cost trend rates for Medicare Part A premiums were revised to reflect the current expectation of future increases in rates of inflation applicable to Medicare Part A premiums.

The PERA benefit structure health care cost trend rates used to measure the TOL are summarized in the table below:

Year	PERACare Medicare Plans	Medicare Part A Premiums
2022	6.50%	3.75%
2023	6.25%	4.00%
2024	6.00%	4.00%
2025	5.75%	4.00%
2026	5.50%	4.25%
2027	5.25%	4.25%
2028	5.00%	4.25%
2029	4.75%	4.50%
2030+	4.50%	4.50%

Mortality assumptions used in the December 31, 2021, valuation for the determination of the total pension liability for each of the Division Trust Funds as shown below, reflect generational mortality and were applied, as applicable, in the determination of the TOL for the HCTF, but developed on a headcount-weighted basis. Affiliated employers of the State, School, Local Government and Judicial Divisions participate in the HCTF.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for the State and Local Government Divisions (members other than State Troopers) were based upon the PubG-2010 Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the PubS-2010 Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for the School Division were based upon the PubT-2010 Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Pre-retirement mortality assumptions for the Judicial Division were based upon the PubG-2010(A) Above-Median Employee Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled mortality assumptions for the State and Local Government Divisions (members other than State Troopers) were based upon the PubG-2010 Healthy Retiree Table, adjusted as follows:

- Males: 94% of the rates prior to age 80 and 90% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.
- Females: 87% of the rates prior to age 80 and 107% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the unadjusted PubS-2010 Healthy Retiree Table, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled mortality assumptions for the School Division were based upon the PubT-2010 Healthy Retiree Table, adjusted as follows:

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

- Males: 112% of the rates prior to age 80 and 94% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.
- Females: 83% of the rates prior to age 80 and 106% of the rates for ages 80 and older, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled mortality assumptions for the Judicial Division were based upon the unadjusted PubG-2010(A) Above-Median Healthy Retiree Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Post-retirement non-disabled beneficiary mortality assumptions were based upon the Pub-2010 Contingent Survivor Table, adjusted as follows:

- Males: 97% of the rates for all ages, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.
- Females: 105% of the rates for all ages, with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Disabled mortality assumptions for members other than State Troopers were based upon the PubNS-2010 Disabled Retiree Table using 99% of the rates for all ages with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

Disabled mortality assumptions for State Troopers were based upon the unadjusted PubS-2010 Disabled Retiree Table with generational projection using scale MP-2019.

The following health care costs assumptions were updated and used in the roll-forward calculation for the HCTF:

- Per capita health care costs in effect as of the December 31, 2021, valuation date for those PERACare enrollees under the PERA benefit structure who are expected to be age 65 and older and are not eligible for premium-free Medicare Part A benefits have been updated to reflect costs for the 2022 plan year.
- The December 31, 2021, valuation utilizes premium information as of January 1, 2022, as the initial per capita health care cost. As of that date, PERACare health benefits administration is performed by UnitedHealthcare. In that transition, the costs for the Medicare Advantage Option #2 decreased to a level that is lower than the maximum possible service-related subsidy as described in the plan provisions.
- The health care cost trend rates applicable to health care premiums were revised to reflect the then current expectation of future increases in those premiums. Medicare Part A premiums continued with the prior valuation trend pattern.

Actuarial assumptions pertaining to per capita health care costs and their related trend rates are analyzed and updated annually by PERA Board's actuary, as discussed above.

Effective for the December 31, 2022, measurement date, the timing of the retirement decrement was adjusted to middle-of-year within the valuation programming used to determine the TOL, reflecting a recommendation from the 2022 actuarial audit report, dated October 14, 2022, summarizing the results of the actuarial audit performed on the December 31, 2021, actuarial valuation.

The actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2021, valuation were based on the results of the 2020 experience analysis for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2019, and were reviewed and adopted by the PERA Board at their November 20, 2020, meeting.

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of regular experience studies prepared at least every five years for PERA. The most recent analyses were outlined in the Experience Study report dated October 28, 2020.

Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumption, including long-term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense and inflation) were developed for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages and then adding expected inflation.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

As of the most recent reaffirmation of the long-term rate of return, the target asset allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the table as follows:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	30 Year Expected Geometric Real Rate of Return
Global Equity	54.00%	5.60%
Fixed Income	23.00%	1.30%
Private Equity	8.50%	7.10%
Real Estate	8.50%	4.40%
Alternatives	6.00%	4.70%
Total	100.00%	

Note: In setting the long-term expected rate of return, projections employed to model future returns provide a range of expected long-term returns that, including expected inflation, ultimately support a long-term expected nominal rate of return assumption of 7.25%.

Sensitivity of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the Health Care Cost Trend Rates. The following presents the net OPEB liability using the current health care cost trend rates applicable to the PERA benefit structure, as well as if it were calculated using health care cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rates:

	1% Decrease in Trend Rates	Current Trend Rates	1% Increase in Trend Rates
Initial PERACare Medicare trend rate ¹	5.25%	6.25%	7.25%
Ultimate PERACare Medicare trend rate	3.50%	4.50%	5.50%
Initial Medicare Part A trend rate	3.00%	4.00%	5.00%
Ultimate Medicare Part A trend rate	3.50%	4.50%	5.50%
Net OPEB Liability	\$10,164	\$10,460	\$10,782

¹For the January 1, 2023, plan year.

Discount rate. The discount rate used to measure the TOL was 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate applied the actuarial cost method and assumptions shown above. In addition, the following methods and assumptions were used in the projection of cash flows:

- Updated health care cost trend rates for Medicare Part A premiums as of the December 31, 2022, measurement date.
- Total covered payroll for the initial projection year consists of the covered payroll of the active membership present on the valuation date and the covered payroll of future plan members assumed to be hired during the year. In subsequent projection years, total covered payroll was assumed to increase annually at a rate of 3.00%.
- Employer contributions were assumed to be made at rates equal to the fixed statutory rates specified in law and effective as of the measurement date.
- Employer contributions and the amount of total service costs for future plan members were based upon a process to estimate future actuarially determined contributions assuming an analogous future plan member growth rate.
- Estimated transfers of dollars into the HCTF representing a portion of purchase service agreements intended to cover the costs associated with OPEB benefits.
- Benefit payments and contributions were assumed to be made at the middle of the year.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -8 DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN (Continued)

Based on the above assumptions and methods, the HCTF's FNP was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.25% on OPEB plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the TOL. The discount rate determination does not use the municipal bond index rate, and therefore, the discount rate is 7.25%. There was no change in the discount rate from the prior measurement date.

Sensitivity of the Office of the District Attorney Sixteenth Judicial District proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one-percentage-point lower (6.25%) or one-percentage-point higher (8.25%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$12,126	\$10,460	\$9,035

OPEB plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the HCTF's FNP is available in PERA's ACFR which can be obtained at www.copera.org/investments/pera-financial-reports.

NOTE-9 COLORADO COUNTY OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

Plan Description

The District's full-time employees (other than the District Attorney) participate in the state wide Colorado County Officials and Employees Retirement Association (Association), a multiple-employer public employee defined contribution retirement system. Employer contributions to the Plan are 4% of compensation. Employee contributions must match employer contributions and are funded on a current basis. Employees may make additional voluntary contributions not to exceed 10% of compensation.

Participants vest in employer contributions and in the earnings, losses and changes in fair market value of plan assets at a rate of 10% per year. Beginning July 1, 1987, member entities participating in the plan have the option to adopt a 20% per year vesting schedule. Participants are immediately vested 100% in their own contributions and earnings. In the event that an Association member withdraws from the Plan, all participant balances for that member shall become immediately vested 100%.

The County Commissioners of the County's in the Sixteenth Judicial District is the trustee of the plan and has the duty of due care that would be required of an ordinary prudent investor, but has no liability for losses under the plan. The County Commissioners of the County's in the Sixteenth Judicial District have the authority to amend the plan terms.

Funding Policy

For the year ended December 31, 2023, the employees and employer each contributed \$32,434. The employer had \$0 of forfeitures that were used to pay the employer's portion.

Net earnings or losses are allocated quarterly to Plan participants. The allocation is based on each participant's balance as of the beginning of that quarter. Participants receiving benefit payments upon retirement or termination are allocated earnings through the date of the distribution.

NOTE -10 TAX SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS

Colorado voters passed an amendment to the State Constitution, Article X, Section 20, which has several limitations including revenue raising, spending abilities and other specific requirements of state and local governments. The amendment is complex and subject to judicial interpretation.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE -10 TAX SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS (Continued)

The Office of the District Attorney is not a taxing district and as a result management has determined that it is not subject to the provisions of the TABOR amendment. However, the entity has made certain interpretations of the amendment's language in order to determine its compliance.

NOTE -11 INSURANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to property and casualty losses as well as those related to injuries of employees while on the job. The District Attorney's Office participates with Otero County for liability and health insurance.

Otero County joined together with the other Counties in the State of Colorado to form the Colorado Counties Casualty and Property Pool (CAPP), and the County Worker's Compensation Pool (CWCP), public entity risk pools currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program for member counties. The County pays an annual contribution to CAPP and CWCP for its property and casualty insurance coverage and workers' compensation insurance coverage. The intergovernmental agreement of formation of CAPP provides that the pool will be financially self-sustaining through member contributions and additional assessments, if necessary, and the Pool will purchase excess insurance through commercial companies for members' claims in excess of a specified self-insured retention, which is determined each policy year.

Otero County also handles health and life insurance claims for its employees. Premiums are charged to cover medical claims with reinsurance provided by commercial carriers for individual claims in excess of \$40,000 annually. Currently the County is only making the District Attorney's Office responsible for the premiums charged.

NOTE -12 CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The District participates in various grant programs which are subject to final acceptance by those entities. Disallowance of costs on these programs could result in the return of program funds to the granting agency.

The District can be party to various legal proceedings which normally occur in governmental operations. These legal proceedings are not likely to have a material adverse impact on the affected funds of the District. In addition, the various Counties maintain insurance coverage for such occurrences.

NOTE -13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the report date, which represent the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Subsequent events after that date have not been evaluated.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY

DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

FOR THE LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
Plan measurement date	12/31/2022	12/31/2021	12/31/2020	12/31/2019	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2016
District's proportion (percentage) of the net pension liability	0.00292%	0.00351%	0.00396%	0.00365%	0.00377%	0.00443%	0.00456%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 317,771	\$ 259,011	\$ 376,070	\$ 353,940	\$ 429,480	\$ 886,929	\$ 813,829
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	267	121	-	-	139	302	-
	<u>\$ 318,038</u>	<u>\$ 259,132</u>	<u>\$ 376,070</u>	<u>\$ 353,940</u>	<u>\$ 429,619</u>	<u>\$ 887,231</u>	<u>\$ 813,829</u>

District's covered payroll	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	244.44%	199.24%	289.28%	272.26%	330.37%	682.25%	626.02%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	60.63%	73.05%	65.34%	62.24%	55.11%	43.20%	42.60%

- The amounts presented for each year were determined as of December 31.

- The schedule is presented to show information for ten years. Until information for the full ten-year period is available, information will be presented for the years it is

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS AND RELATED RATIOS

DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

FOR THE LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Plan measurement date	12/31/2022	12/31/2021	12/31/2020	12/31/2019	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2016	12/31/2015
Statutorily required contribution	\$ 26,707	\$ 26,326	\$ 25,909	\$ 25,519	\$ 25,032	\$ 24,869	\$ 24,869	\$ 23,698
Contributions in relation to the statutorily required contribution	(26,707)	(26,326)	(25,909)	(25,519)	(25,032)	(24,869)	(24,869)	(23,698)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
District's covered payroll	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	20.54%	20.25%	19.93%	19.63%	19.26%	19.13%	19.13%	18.23%

The amounts presented for each year were determined as of December 31.

The schedule is presented to show information for ten years. Until information for the full ten year period is available, information will be presented for the years it is available.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE - HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND

DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN

FOR THE LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
Plan measurement date	12/31/2022	12/31/2021	12/31/2020	12/31/2019	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2016
District's proportion of the net OPEB liability	0.0013%	0.0014%	0.0014%	0.0014%	0.0015%	0.0016%	0.0016%
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 10,460	\$ 11,711	\$ 13,358	\$ 16,251	\$ 20,912	\$ 20,810	\$ 21,346
District's covered payroll	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	8.05%	9.01%	10.28%	12.50%	16.09%	16.01%	16.42%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	38.57%	39.40%	32.78%	24.49%	17.03%	17.53%	16.72%

The amounts presented for each year were determined as of December 31.

The schedule is presented to show information for ten years. Until information for the full ten-year period is available, information will be presented for the years it is available.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT CONTRIBUTIONS AND RELATED RATIOS - HEALTH CARE TRUST FUND

DEFINED BENEFIT OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLAN

FOR THE LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
Plan measurement date	12/31/2022	12/31/2021	12/31/2020	12/31/2019	12/31/2018	12/31/2017	12/31/2016
Statutorily required contribution	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,326
Contributions in relation to the statutorily required contribution	(1,326)	(1,326)	(1,326)	(1,326)	(1,326)	(1,326)	(1,326)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

District's covered payroll	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	1.02%	1.02%	1.02%	1.02%	1.02%	1.02%	1.02%

The amounts presented for each year were determined as of December 31.

The schedule is presented to show information for ten years. Until information for the full ten year period is available, information will be presented for the years it is available.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -
BUDGET AND ACTUAL ON A BUDGETARY BASIS

GENERAL FUND

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

	ORIGINAL BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	ACTUAL	VARIANCE WITH FINAL BUDGET POSITIVE (NEGATIVE)
REVENUES				
Intergovernmental Revenues:				
State Reimbursement for Wages/Benefits	\$ 127,270	\$ 127,270	\$ 127,816	\$ 546
County Funding	829,242	829,242	829,239	(3)
VAWA Grant	-	-	-	-
VALE Grant	49,000	49,000	50,940	1,940
VOCA Grants	96,554	96,554	90,283	(6,271)
Adult/Juvenile Diversion Grants	180,000	180,000	201,104	21,104
Weekend Bond Hearings	-	-	17,413	17,413
Other	-	-	13,861	13,861
Charges for Services:				
DOC Billings	100,000	100,000	208,646	108,646
Miscellaneous	25,000	25,000	318	(24,682)
TOTAL REVENUES	1,407,066	1,407,066	1,539,620	132,554
EXPENDITURES				
Public Safety				
Personnel Costs	976,208	976,208	959,436	16,772
Fringe Benefits	310,422	310,422	267,602	42,820
Professional and Expert Fees	33,000	33,000	53,808	(20,808)
Travel, Meetings, and Dues	15,864	15,864	20,074	(4,210)
Occupancy Costs	6,594	6,594	9,951	(3,357)
Supplies	24,362	24,362	30,924	(6,562)
Telephone	10,365	10,365	11,707	(1,342)
Library	2,472	2,472	2,904	(432)
Training	-	-	14,190	(14,190)
Miscellaneous	28,690	28,690	29,526	(836)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,407,977	1,407,977	1,400,122	7,855
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(911)	(911)	139,498	140,409
FUND BALANCE JANUARY 1	323,581	323,581	323,581	-
FUND BALANCE DECEMBER 31	\$ 322,670	\$ 322,670	\$ 463,079	\$ 140,409